

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA

CASE NO. 0:18-cv-61991-BB

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION,

Plaintiff,

v.

1 GLOBAL CAPITAL LLC, and  
CARL RUDERMAN,

Defendants, and

1 WEST CAPITAL LLC,  
BRIGHT SMILE FINANCING, LLC,  
BRR BLOCK INC.,  
DIGI SOUTH LLC,  
GANADOR ENTERPRISES, LLC,  
MEDIA PAY LLC  
PAY NOW DIRECT LLC, and  
RUDERMAN FAMILY TRUST,

Relief Defendants.

---

**RECEIVER’S MOTION FOR APPROVAL OF SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT  
WITH NUTRA SPECIALISTS, INC., DEAN WAYNE, AND BLAKE RUDERMAN**

Jon A. Sale, not individually, but solely in his capacity as the Court-appointed receiver (the “Receiver”) for Bright Smile Financing, LLC (“Bright Smile”); BRR Block Inc. (“BRR Block”); Digi South LLC (“Digi South”); Ganador Enterprises, LLC (“Ganador”); Media Pay LLC (“Media Pay”); Pay Now Direct LLC (“Pay Now”); the Ruderman Family Trust; and the Bright Smile Trust (the "Receivership Entities"), respectfully submits this Motion for Approval of Settlement Agreement with Nutra Specialists, Inc., Dean Wayne, and Blake Ruderman (“Motion”).

## **INTRODUCTION**

On February 19, 2021, the Receiver filed a Complaint to Avoid and Recover Fraudulent Transfers against Nutra Specialists, Inc. (“Nutra”), alleging four causes of action. That case is styled *Jon Sale, Receiver v. Nutra Specialists, Inc.*, Case No. 21-cv-80356-Bloom (“Lawsuit”). In the Lawsuit, the Receiver alleges, based upon the bank records of the Receivership Entities, that Nutra received a total of approximately \$617,862.00 in fraudulent transfers from one or more Receivership Entities in connection with the lease of an automobile.

On July 2, 2021, the Receiver filed an Amended Complaint against Nutra. The Receiver also added individuals, Dean Wayne and Blake Ruderman, as additional Defendants (together with Nutra, “Defendants”).

To avoid the expense and risk of litigating claims, the Receiver and Defendants have agreed to resolve the Lawsuit pursuant to the terms of a fully executed settlement agreement (the "Settlement Agreement"), attached as **Exhibit A**. Under the terms of the Settlement Agreement, Nutra shall pay the Receiver \$20,000.00.<sup>1</sup> The Receiver’s authority to both file and settle the Lawsuit is derived from the Receivership Order, entered by the Court in this action, Case No. 18-cv-61991 (the "SEC Action"). [D.E. 12, ¶6].

## **THE RECEIVERSHIP ORDER**

On August 23, 2018, the Court entered an order appointing Mr. Sale as the Receiver. [D.E. 12]. The Receivership Order provides, among other things, that the assets and property of the Receivership Entities, whatsoever and wherever located, are to be placed in the Receiver’s control

---

<sup>1</sup> The Lawsuit was handled by the Receiver’s contingency counsel (“Special Counsel”). Special Counsel is entitled to 33% of the settlement amount, or \$6,666.67, pursuant to the retainer agreement approved by the Court on August 21, 2019. [D.E. 228]. As such, \$13,333.33 of the settlement amount is for the benefit of the Receivership Estate.

[*Id.* at ¶ 1] and the Receiver has sole title to the assets and property, including but not limited to all books, papers, codes, records, data, bank accounts, savings accounts, securities, supplies, equipment, and other real property [*Id.* at ¶¶ 1, 17].

The Receivership Order also gives the Receiver power to “[d]efend, compromise or settle legal actions, including the instant proceeding in which these Relief Defendants or the Receiver are a party, commenced either prior to or subsequent to this Order.” [*Id.* at ¶ 6].

Finally, the Receivership Order further provides:

In the event the Receiver discovers that investor funds received by these Relief Defendants have been transferred to other persons or entities, the Receiver shall apply to this Court for an Order giving the Receiver possession of such funds and, if the Receiver deems it advisable, extending this receivership over any person or entity holding such investor funds[.]

[*Id.* at ¶ 24].

### **THE TRANSFERS**

The Receiver contends that from April 18, 2015 through October 29, 2015, Media Pay, a Receivership Entity, transferred \$617,862.00 to Nutra ("Transfers"). The Receiver contends that the records of the Receivership Entities demonstrate that these were fraudulent transfers and the funds rightfully belong to the Receivership Estate.

### **THE PROPOSED SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT**

The proposed Settlement Agreement provides in pertinent part:

- No later than five (5) business days after the date the Settlement Agreement was fully executed (“Execution Date”), individual Defendants Wayne and Ruderman provided executed financial affidavits to the Receiver, their individual tax returns for 2020, and Nutra’s 2015 federal tax return.

- Within five (5) business days of the receipt of completed and executed financial affidavits from Wayne and Ruderman, which in the Receiver's sole discretion confirm the representations the Nutra Defendants made to the Receiver about their assets, liabilities and net worth at the mediation, the Receiver's counsel will file this Motion.
- Nutra will pay \$20,000.00 (the "Settlement Amount") to the Receiver within fourteen (14) days of entry of an order approving this Motion.
- The Receiver and Defendants agree to mutual releases related to the Transfers and Amended Complaint upon the Court's approval of the Settlement Agreement and the Receiver's receipt of the full Settlement Amount due under the terms of the Settlement Agreement.

*See Ex. A.*

### **JURISDICTION**

The Receiver and Defendants request that the United States District Court for the Southern District of Florida retain jurisdiction to enforce the terms of the Settlement Agreement and decide any other issues arising from the Settlement Agreement. Defendants exclusively submit to the jurisdiction of this Court for such purposes and waive any right to challenge this Court's jurisdiction. Defendants also agree that in the event an enforcement action or any other litigation arising from the Settlement Agreement, Defendants submit to the jurisdiction of this Court exclusively for such purposes and waives any right to challenge this Court's jurisdiction.

### **BEST INTERESTS OF THE RECEIVERSHIP ESTATE**

The Receiver respectfully submits that the Court should approve the proposed Settlement Agreement because it is in the best interest of the Receivership Estate. The process of reaching the proposed settlement was fair, well-informed, and well-advised by the Receiver's retained

professionals.

The ultimate inquiry in assessing a proposed receivership settlement is whether “the proposed settlement is fair.” *Sterling v. Stewart*, 158 F. 3d 1199, 1203 (11th Cir. 1998); see *In re Consol. Pinnacle West Sec. Litig./Resolution Trust Corp.-Merabank Litig.*, 51 F. 3d 194, 196-97 (9th Cir. 1995) (“We see no reason to upset the court’s conclusion that the settlement process and result were fair.”). Determining the fairness of [a] settlement is left to the sound discretion of the trial court.” *Sterling*, 158 F. 3d at 1202 (11th Cir. 1998). In determining fairness, the Court should examine the following broad array of factors: (1) the likelihood of success on the merits; (2) the range of possible discovery; (3) the point on or below the range of discovery at which settlement is fair, adequate and reasonable; (4) the complexity, expense and duration of litigation; (5) the substance and amount of opposition to the settlement; and (6) the stage of proceedings at which the settlement was achieved. *Sterling*, 158 F. 3d at 1204. See also *SEC v. Princeton Economic Int’l*, 2002 WL 206990, \*2 (S.D.N.Y. 2002) (receivership court should consider “various factors including, inter alia: (1) the probable validity of the claim; (2) the apparent difficulties attending its enforcement through the courts; (3) the collectability of the judgment thereafter; (4) the delay and expenses of the litigation to be incurred; and (5) the amount involved in the compromise”).

For example, the District Court in *Gordon v. Dadante* “analyze[d] the settlement as a whole, under the totality of the circumstances.” 2008 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 32281, \*39, 48 (N.D. Ohio April 18, 2008). The Sixth Circuit affirmed, finding that the district court had fulfilled its responsibilities by engaging in an “independent analysis of the settlement,” as “the district court had extensive knowledge of the claims involved in the case, the valuation of those claims, and the nature of the settlement,” and thus “had more than sufficient information to assess the fairness of the settlement proposed.” 2009 U.S. App. LEXIS 15517 at \*\*16, 23. As the district court noted in

a later approval proceeding, “the courts must recognize that plans relating to settlement of a receivership are inherently imperfect, “because no proposal can be [perfect],” and the “task at hand, however, is to do justice to the extent possible.” *Gordon v. Dadante*, 2010 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 1979, \*13-14 (N.D. Ohio Jan. 11, 2010).

Here, the Receiver respectfully submits that the Settlement Agreement is a fair, adequate, and reasonable resolution of the Receiver's causes of action against Defendants. The Settlement Agreements provides a monetary recovery for the Receivership Estate. Nutra is no longer in business, and the Receiver's claims against the individual Defendants have litigation risk including a pending motion to dismiss. Further, litigation would require the Receiver to expend costs related to Special Counsel, among others. The individual Defendants made representations during settlement negotiations about their limited liquidity and the lack of ability to pay should a judgment be entered against them. Moreover, the Receiver obtained financial affidavits and 2020 federal tax returns from the individual Defendants, as part of a settlement in principle, confirming limited liquid assets. Thus, even in the event the Receiver obtained a judgment against them, it is uncertain whether any actual recovery could be obtained. For all these reasons and based on the Receiver's review of information obtained from Defendants, the Receiver believes that the outcome for the Receivership Entities will be better under the Settlement Agreement than it would be if the Receiver was forced to proceed with litigation.

Based on the Receiver's due diligence, the terms of the proposed Settlement Agreement are fair and reasonable, representing a sensible means of assuring a beneficial outcome.

#### **OBJECTION PROCEDURE**

As noted above, the determination of the fairness of a settlement is left to the sound discretion of the trial court. *See Sterling*, 158 F. 3d at 1202. Because "the substance and amount of

opposition to the settlement" is a factor for the Court's consideration pursuant to the *Sterling* test, the Receiver respectfully requests that the Court, in exercising its broad discretion, approve the Settlement Agreement with a limited objection procedure. The Receiver proposes posting this Motion and the Settlement Agreement on both the Receiver's website and 1 Global's website and allowing fourteen (14) days for any potential objections to be filed with the Court.

It is the Receiver's position that given the amount at stake in the Lawsuit, an expensive, elongated objection procedure would render the benefits of the settlement worthless to the Receivership Estate, and thus this limited objection procedure should be permitted.

**CONCLUSION**

For the foregoing reasons, Jon A. Sale, as Receiver, respectfully requests that this Court enter an Order approving the Settlement Agreement, subject to the limited objection procedure described above, and granting any further relief it deems just and proper.

Dated: June 8, 2022.

NELSON MULLINS BROAD AND CASSEL  
Attorneys for Receiver  
One Biscayne Tower, 21<sup>st</sup> Floor  
2 S. Biscayne Boulevard  
Miami, FL 33131  
Telephone: 305.373.9400  
Facsimile: 305.995.6449

By:           s/Christopher Cavallo            
Christopher Cavallo  
Florida Bar No. 0092305  
Daniel S. Newman  
Florida Bar No. 0962767

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on June 8, 2022, I electronically filed the foregoing document with the Clerk of the Court using CM/ECF. I also certify that the foregoing is being served this day on all counsel of record identified on the attached Service List in the manner specified, either via transmission of Notices of Electronic Filing generated by CM/ECF or in some other authorized manner for those counsel who are not authorized to receive electronically Notices of Electronic Filing.

By: s/Christopher Cavallo  
Christopher Cavallo



**SERVICE LIST**

<p><b>SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION</b> Miami Regional Office 801 Brickell Avenue, Suite 1800 Miami, Florida 33131 Robert K. Levenson Chris Martin Senior Trial Counsel levensonr@sec.gov martinc@sec.gov Telephone: 305.982.6300 Facsimile: 305.536.4154</p>	<p><b>MARCUS NEIMAN &amp; RASHBAUM LLP</b> 2 South Biscayne Boulevard Suite 1750 Miami, Florida 33131 Jeff Marcus jmarcus@mnrllawfirm.com Telephone: 305.400.4262 <i>Attorneys for Defendant Carl Ruderman</i></p>
<p><b>BAKER &amp; MCKENZIE LLP</b> 1111 Brickell Avenue, Suite 1700 Miami, Florida 33131 John Dodd john.dodd@bakermckenzie.com Tel: +1 305 789 8960 <i>Attorneys for Defendant 1 Global Capital, LLC and Relief Defendant 1 West Capital, LLC</i></p>	

*Jon Sale, Receiver v. Nutra Specialists, Inc., et al.*  
*Case No. 21-cv-80356-Bloom*

### **SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT AND RELEASE**

**THIS SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT** (the “Agreement”) is entered into this \_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, 2022, by and between Jon A. Sale, not individually, but solely in his capacity as the Court-appointed receiver (“Receiver”) for Bright Smile Financing, LLC; BRR Block Inc.; Pay Now Direct, LLC; Ganador Enterprises, LLC; Pay Now Direct LLC; Media Pay LLC; the Bright Smile Trust; and the Ruderman Family Trust (collectively, the “Receivership Entities”), and Nutra Specialists, Inc. (“Nutra”), Dean Wayne (“Wayne”), and Blake Ruderman (“Ruderman”) (collectively, the “Nutra Defendants”). The Receiver and the Nutra Defendants are collectively referred to as the "Parties."

**WHEREAS**, Judge Beth Bloom of the United States District Court for the Southern District of Florida (the “District Court”) appointed the Receiver in *Securities and Exchange Commission vs. 1 Global Capital LLC and Carl Ruderman, et al.* Case No. 0:18-cv-61991-BB (the “SEC Proceeding”), and authorized the Receiver to marshal the assets of the Receivership Entities, investigate the affairs of the Receivership Entities, institute actions to recover funds for the benefit of investors, and enter into agreements as may be reasonable and advisable in discharging the Receiver’s duties;

**WHEREAS**, on February 18, 2021, the Receiver filed a Complaint (the “Complaint”) to Avoid and Recover Fraudulent Transfers and asserted four causes of action against Nutra in *Jon Sale, Receiver v. Nutra Specialists, Inc.*, Case Number 21-cv-80356-Bloom (the “Lawsuit”), and, thereafter, on July 2, 2021, the Receiver filed an Amended Complaint (“Amended Complaint”) which included claims in the Lawsuit against Defendants Wayne and Ruderman for alter ego liability;

**WHEREAS**, the Amended Complaint seeks to recover as fraudulent transfers the payments listed in paragraph 34 of the Amended Complaint (the “Transfers”) made to Defendant Nutra from Receivership Entity Media Pay;

**WHEREAS**, on August 25, 2021, the Parties participated in mediation at which all Parties and their counsel were present; and

**WHEREAS**, to avoid the expense and risk of litigating the Lawsuit, the Parties hereto are desirous of resolving all disputes between them, including in connection with the Lawsuit and the Transfers, and whether or not expressly asserted in the Amended Complaint;

**NOW THEREFORE**, in consideration of the promises and mutual promises and covenants contained herein, the Parties agree as follows:

1. **Recitals**. The Recitals above are confirmed as true and correct, are incorporated herein by reference, and are a substantive and contractual part of this Agreement.
2. **Settlement**. The Parties hereby settle and compromise all claims, disputes, and controversies between them for damages, attorneys’ fees, costs, and/or equitable

*Jon Sale, Receiver v. Nutra Specialists, Inc., et al.*  
*Case No. 21-cv-80356-Bloom*

relief, arising from the Lawsuit, asserted or assertable in the Amended Complaint and/or the SEC Proceeding which the Receiver has or may have had against the Nutra Defendants or which the Nutra Defendants have or may have had against the Receiver, the Receivership Entities, or the Receivership Estate.

3. **SEC and Court Approval and Effective Date.** This Agreement is not effective unless and until it is approved by the United States Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC”) and the District Court. The Effective Date of this Agreement is defined as the date the Court enters an order approving the Agreement, if such approval is granted.
4. **Settlement Terms.** In consideration and exchange for the settlement and releases contained herein, the Parties agree as follows:
  - a. All Parties will execute this Agreement and provide executed copies of the Agreement via email to the Receiver’s counsel at the email address set forth below in paragraph 19, and the date on which the Receiver’s counsel receives the final necessary signature shall be referred to herein as the “Execution Date”;
  - b. No later than five (5) business days of the Execution Date, Wayne and Ruderman shall provide to the Receiver’s counsel, via email, completed and executed financial affidavits in the form attached hereto as Exhibit “A”, along with Nutra’s 2015 federal tax return (final) filed with the IRS and Individual Tax returns for 2020;
  - c. Within five (5) business days of the receipt of completed and executed financial affidavits from Wayne and Ruderman, which in the Receiver’s sole discretion confirm the representations the Nutra Defendants made to the Receiver about their assets, liabilities and net worth at the mediation, , the Receiver’s counsel will file a motion for approval of the Agreement by the Receivership Court except that no settlement shall be deemed to have occurred unless and until the Receiver in his sole discretion confirms the representations by authorizing his counsel to file a motion for approval and the Receivership Court enters an order approving the settlement;
  - d. Within five (5) business days of receipt of an Order approving the Agreement by the Receivership Court, the Receiver’s counsel will provide via email to Nutra’s and Wayne’s counsel, and to Blake Ruderman, a completed 2021 W-9 form from the Receiver;
  - e. The Nutra Defendants shall pay the sum of \$20,000.00 (the "Settlement Amount") to the Receiver as follows: (i) Within fourteen (14) days of the Order approving the Agreement by the

*Jon Sale, Receiver v. Nutra Specialists, Inc., et al.*  
*Case No. 21-cv-80356-Bloom*

Receivership Court, the Nutra Defendants shall pay \$20,000 to the Receiver pursuant to the payment instructions set forth below (the "Settlement Payment");

- f. The Nutra Defendants shall remit the Settlement Amount by either: (i) wiring the funds to counsel for the Receiver using the wiring instructions attached hereto as Exhibit "B"; or (ii) in the form of a check made payable to Jon Sale, Receiver for Media Pay, LLC, *et al*, to be delivered to Jon Sale, Esq., Nelson Mullins, 2 South Biscayne Blvd, 21<sup>st</sup> Floor, Miami, FL, 33131; and
- g. Within 5 days of the receipt of the Settlement Payment by the Receiver, the Receiver will file a Stipulation for Dismissal With Prejudice or a Notice of Voluntary Dismissal With Prejudice dismissing the Lawsuit and requesting the Court retain jurisdiction to enforce the terms of the Agreement.

5. **General Releases.**

Upon the Effective Date and upon the Receiver's receipt and clearing of the entire Settlement Amount as set forth in paragraph 4(e) above, for good and valuable consideration, the receipt of which is hereby acknowledged, the Receiver, on behalf of the Receivership Entities, their beneficiaries, predecessors, subsidiaries, affiliates, current or former agents, shareholders, officers, principals, directors, executives, employees, attorneys, successors, and assigns, does hereby voluntarily and knowingly, unconditionally and absolutely waive, remise, generally release, acquit, satisfy and forever discharge the Nutra Defendants, and their respective predecessors, subsidiaries, affiliates, current or former agents, shareholders, officers, principals, directors, executives, employees, attorneys, insurers, successors and assigns from or concerning any causes of action, claims, complaints, liabilities, suits, debts, dues, sums of money, accounts, indemnities, guarantees, contributions, reckonings, bonds, bills, covenants, contracts, controversies, agreements, promises, damages, injuries, judgments, executions, claims, expenses, and all other damages now accrued or hereafter to accrue, of any kind or character whatsoever, in any country or jurisdiction whatsoever, in law or in equity, known or unknown, direct or indirect, fixed or contingent, suspected or unsuspected, including but not limited to, any claims under federal, state or local law or any laws of any country in the world, arising out of or relating to any matters, transactions or events which existed from the beginning of time through the date of this Agreement relating to the Amended Complaint and the Transfers. This release encompasses any potential claims for any relief, no matter how denominated, including but not limited to, compensatory damages, punitive damages and attorneys' fees and costs actually incurred; provided, however, such release does not include any breach of the promises, covenants, conditions or representations contained in this Agreement.

*Jon Sale, Receiver v. Nutra Specialists, Inc., et al.*  
*Case No. 21-cv-80356-Bloom*

Upon the Effective Date and upon the Receiver's receipt and clearing of the entire Settlement Amount as set forth in paragraph 4(e) above, for good and valuable consideration, the receipt of which is hereby acknowledged, the Nutra Defendants, on behalf of themselves, their beneficiaries, predecessors, subsidiaries, affiliates, current or former agents, shareholders, officers, principals, directors, executives, employees, attorneys, successors and assigns, do hereby voluntarily and knowingly, unconditionally and absolutely waive, remise, generally release, acquit, satisfy and forever discharge the Receiver, the Receivership Estate, and the Receivership Entities and their predecessors, subsidiaries, affiliates, current or former agents, shareholders, officers, principals, directors, executives, employees, attorneys, insurers, successors, and assigns from or concerning any causes of action, claims, complaints, liabilities, suits, debts, dues, sums of money, accounts, indemnities, guarantees, contributions, reckonings, bonds, bills, covenants, contracts, controversies, agreements, promises, damages, injuries, judgments, executions, claims, expenses, and all other damages now accrued or hereafter to accrue, of any kind or character whatsoever, in any country or jurisdiction whatsoever, in law or in equity, known or unknown, direct or indirect, fixed or contingent, suspected or unsuspected, including but not limited to, any claims under federal, state or local law, or any laws of any country in the world, arising out of or relating to any matters, transactions or events which existed from the beginning of time through the date of this Agreement. This release encompasses any potential claims for any relief, no matter how denominated, including but not limited to, compensatory damages, punitive damages and attorneys' fees and costs actually incurred; provided, however, such release does not include any breach of the promises, covenants, conditions or representations contained in this Agreement.

6. **No Admissions.** This Agreement is expressly agreed to be in compromise of all disputes and disputed claims, and the Settlement Amount and/or the releases contained herein are not to be construed as admissions of liability, culpability, or wrongdoing on the part of the Parties, which liability, culpability, or wrongdoing is expressly denied.
7. **No Assignment.** The Receiver represents and warrants that the Receiver has not sold, transferred, conveyed, assigned, or otherwise disposed of any right, title, or interest in any of the matters released herein to anyone, and that Receiver is not aware of anyone who may have or who has asserted or can assert a right, title, or interest in any of the matters released in this Agreement.
8. **Release of Unknown Claims.** The Parties hereby acknowledge that they may hereafter discover facts different from, or in addition to, those which the Parties now claim or believe to be true with respect to the claims released in this Agreement, and agree that this Agreement shall be and remain effective in all respects notwithstanding the discovery of such different or additional facts. The Parties expressly waive any

*Jon Sale, Receiver v. Nutra Specialists, Inc., et al.*  
*Case No. 21-cv-80356-Bloom*

right to assert hereafter any claims waived under this Agreement through ignorance, oversight, error or otherwise.

9. **Integration.** This Agreement contains the entire Agreement among the Parties, and the terms of this Agreement are contractual and not a mere recital. The Parties agree that all prior negotiations and understandings between them have been merged herein, and that this Agreement may not be modified or changed, except by a writing signed by a duly authorized representative of each party.
10. **Binding Nature.** The provisions of this Agreement shall be binding upon and inure to the benefit of the Parties hereto and their estates, heirs, legal representatives, successors and assigns. The Parties represent and acknowledge that they have had an opportunity to, and have consulted with, counsel in connection with the execution of this Agreement.
11. **Counterparts.** This Agreement may be executed in counterparts, each of which shall be deemed an original, and the counterparts shall together constitute one and the same agreement, notwithstanding that each party is not signatory to the original or the same counterpart. Facsimile, scanned, and emailed signatures shall be deemed as effective as original signatures.
12. **Disputes.** Any dispute arising out of this Agreement or its terms shall be resolved exclusively through the District Court presiding over the SEC Proceeding, and Florida law shall govern. The Parties expressly submit to personal jurisdiction in the United States District Court for the Southern District of Florida for any such claims.
13. **Authority.** Each of the signatories hereto represents that she/he has authority to execute this Agreement and to bind the party or parties on whose behalf she/he has signed.
14. **Severability.** If any provision of this Agreement is determined to be invalid or unenforceable, the remainder of this Agreement shall not be affected thereby.
15. **Construction.** The Parties to this Agreement have negotiated at arms' length and participated in the drafting of this Agreement and, accordingly, any claimed ambiguity shall not be presumptively resolved either in favor of or against any party hereto.
16. **Expenses.** Except as otherwise provided herein, the Parties will bear their own expenses, costs and/or attorneys' fees, including any costs or attorneys' fees incurred in connection with the mediation and negotiation and execution of this Agreement. The Parties agree that in the event of any litigation to enforce this Agreement, the prevailing party shall be entitled to recover its reasonable attorneys'

*Jon Sale, Receiver v. Nutra Specialists, Inc., et al.*  
*Case No. 21-cv-80356-Bloom*

fees and costs from the non-prevailing party in any action (including but not limited to all paralegal fees in any trial, bankruptcy and/or appellate proceedings).

17. **Default.** In the event the Nutra Defendants fail to timely make payment pursuant to paragraph 4(e), the Receiver shall provide the Nutra Defendants with five (5) business days written notice of default. During this five (5) business day period, the Nutra Defendants shall have the opportunity to cure such default (the "Cure Period"). Said written notice shall be sent to counsel of record. In the event the Nutra Defendants fail to cure such default within the Cure Period, the Nutra Defendants agrees to entry of a judgment against them, jointly and severally, for the full amount of the Transfers alleged in the Complaint (\$617,862), less any amounts toward satisfaction of this Agreement.
18. **Jurisdiction.** The Parties agree to seek an Order providing that the District Court shall retain jurisdiction to enforce the terms of this Agreement and decide any other issues arising from this Agreement. In the event no such order retaining jurisdiction over this Agreement is granted, the Parties agree that the sole venue for any action arising from the Agreement shall be in the District Court for the Southern District of Florida and submit to personal jurisdiction before that Court.
19. **Notices.** All notices to the Receiver shall be addressed to Melanie Damian, Damian & Valori, LLP, 1000 Brickell Avenue, Suite 1020, Miami, Florida 33131, [mdamian@dvllp.com](mailto:mdamian@dvllp.com), which shall constitute good and sufficient notice. All notices sent to the Nutra Defendants shall be addressed to [Wayne](#) Schwartz, Esq., Lee & Amtzis, P.L., 5550 Glades Road, Suite 401, Boca Raton, Florida 33431; [schwartz@leeamlaw.com](mailto:schwartz@leeamlaw.com) and to Blake Ruderman, 17499 Rainstream Road, Boca Raton, Florida 33496; [blake.ruderman@gmail.com](mailto:blake.ruderman@gmail.com), which shall constitute good and sufficient notice.

**IN WITNESS WHEREOF**, the Parties have affixed or caused to be affixed their respective signatures, effective on the day and year first written above.

*Jon Sale, Receiver v. Nutra Specialists, Inc., et al.*  
Case No. 21-cv-80356-Bloom

**JON A. SALE, RECEIVER**

**NUTRA SPECIALISTS, INC.**

By: \_\_\_\_\_

By: \_\_\_\_\_

Name: Jon A. Sale  
Title: Receiver  
Date:

Name: Dean Wayne  
Title: Last known officer or director  
Date: \_\_\_\_\_

**BLAKE RUDERMAN**  
**Blake Ruderman**

**DEAN WAYNE**

Date: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_

**Signature:** *Blake Ruderman*  
Blake Ruderman (May 12, 2022 11:35 EDT)

**Email:** blake.ruderman@gmail.com



*Jon Sale, Receiver v. Nutra Specialists, Inc., et al.*  
*Case No. 21-cv-80356-Bloom*

**JON A. SALE, RECEIVER**

**NUTRA SPECIALISTS, INC.**

By: Jon A Sale

By: \_\_\_\_\_

Name: Jon A. Sale  
Title: Receiver  
Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Name: Dean Wayne  
Title: Last known officer or director  
Date: \_\_\_\_\_

**BLAKE RUDERMAN**

**DEAN WAYNE**

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

Date: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_

*Jon Sale, Receiver v. Nutra Specialists, Inc., et al.*  
*Case No. 21-cv-80356-Bloom*

**JON A. SALE, RECEIVER**

**NUTRA SPECIALISTS, INC.**

By: \_\_\_\_\_

By:  \_\_\_\_\_


Name: Jon A. Sale  
Title: Receiver  
Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Name: Dean Wayne  
Title: Last known officer or director  
Date: 05/19/22

**BLAKE RUDERMAN**

**DEAN WAYNE**

\_\_\_\_\_

 \_\_\_\_\_

Date: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_

